


Exit Exams **Harm** Students Who **Fail** Them —



and **Don't** **Benefit** Students Who **Pass** Them

The national experiment with exit exams has not produced the desired results. It's time to try something else.

By John Robert Warren and Eric Grodsky

A generation ago, high school students earned their diplomas by showing up for classes, keeping up their grades, and staying out of trouble. Since the late 1970s, a growing number of states have also required aspiring graduates to pass “exit exams” — standardized tests that assess mastery of basic skills — in order to graduate. This spring, about two in three

■ *JOHN ROBERT WARREN is a professor and ERIC GRODSKY is an assistant professor in the Department of Sociology at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.*

American high school students will have to pass an exit exam on their way to earning their diplomas.

After evaluating the effects of high school exit exams on a variety of student outcomes using nationally representative data spanning nearly 30 years, we conclude that exit exams hurt students who fail them without benefiting students who pass them — or the taxpayers who pay for developing, implementing, and scoring them. Exit exams are just challenging enough to reduce the graduation rate but not challenging enough to have measurable consequences for how much students learn or for how prepared they are for life after high school. Political pragmatism rather than academic benchmarks have led states to implement

fundamentally flawed exit exam policies. Policy makers should either revamp exit exams to be sufficiently challenging to make a real difference for how much students learn or abandon them altogether.

ARGUING ABOUT EXIT EXAMS

Proponents of exit exam policies say too many students simply get credit for “seat time,” graduating without basic literacy and numeracy skills. With the decline in manufacturing and growth of the information economy, architects of exit exam policies have sought to bolster the value of the diploma. Supporters say these policies have increased pressure on students, parents, teachers, and school systems to boost academic achievement and to better prepare young people for college and the global economy.

Critics contend that such policies are fundamentally counterproductive and unfair. First, they assert, exit exams deny diplomas to some students and lead others to drop out of high school without offering much in the way of improved academic outcomes. Second, exit exams force educators to narrow the curriculum by “teaching to the test,” neglecting to devote adequate time to subjects not covered on the exit exam. Third, these policies are expensive to develop, implement, and score, diverting resources from instruction. Finally, critics argue that these policies are unfair to students who haven’t had sufficient opportunity to master the tested material, either because of disabilities or limited English proficiency or because of inequities in educational resources.

Besides the similarity of the rhetoric and claims for and against exit exam policies over time and across states, these debates have also typically proceeded in the absence of sound empirical evidence on either side.

DO EXIT EXAMS LOWER GRADUATION RATES?

At first glance, it seems obvious that exit exam policies should reduce high school graduation rates, at least during the initial years of their implementation. By design, these policies deny diplomas to students who don’t meet basic proficiency standards in core curricular areas and who, presumably, would have earned diplomas before the exit exam requirement.

How is it that 23 states (and counting) have implemented policies that appear to do such harm without doing any good?

On the other hand, there are reasons to suppose that exit exams may have very minimal consequences for graduation rates. First, it may be that the only students who can’t exceed the low bar imposed by exit exam policies would have dropped out anyway. Second, it may be that the basic proficiency standards set by most states are so low that nearly all students who continue in high school through their senior year would eventually be able to meet those standards. Third, schools and districts may “game the system” to artificially increase test scores and graduation rates by selectively exempting students for whom exit exams would present a serious barrier to graduation.

Our analyses indicate that state exit exams reduce high school graduation rates (Warren, Jenkins and Kulick 2006). In states with “minimum competency” exit exams (assessing mastery of material that students should learn before 9th grade), graduation rates decline by about one percentage point. In states with “higher competency” exit exams, graduation rates decline by about two percentage points. Nationally, each percentage point reduction in the graduation rate means about 35,000 fewer young people leave high school with a diploma each year.

Exit exams have a greater impact on graduation rates in states that are more racially/ethnically diverse and have higher rates of poverty. This doesn’t necessarily mean exit exams increase dropout rates of disadvantaged students more than advantaged students, but it is consistent with that claim.

DO EXIT EXAMS IMPROVE HOW MUCH STUDENTS LEARN?

Exit exams deny diplomas to some students, but they may also increase the academic achievement of others by raising the bar. If diploma recipients learn more than they would have in the absence of exit exams, exit exams are redistributive rather than capricious. They may increase the rewards to those who succeed as well as the costs to those who fail. However, surprisingly little empirical research has investigated the impact of state exit exams on students' proficiency in core academic subjects.

Data from the long-term trend component of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (or LTT NAEP) help answer this question (Grotsky, Warren, and Kalogrides in press). LTT NAEP includes a set of achievement test items that are the same from year to year in order to allow for methodologically sound assessments of trends over time in reading, mathematics, and science achievement. In combination with the detailed information that we collected about exit exam policies in each state, we asked whether exit exams increased the reading and math achievement of students between 1971 and 2004. Beyond asking whether exit exams improved *average* levels of achievement in reading and math, we also asked whether exit exams improved the achievement of students closer to the top and the bottom of the achievement distribution. Exit exams might do the most to improve the achievement of marginal students and the least to improve the reading or math test scores of already high-achieving students. We also asked whether exit exams matter more or less for racial/ethnic minority students and for students from different social class backgrounds.

We found no evidence for any effect of exit exams (minimum competency *or* higher competency) on reading or math achievement at the mean or at any of several cut-points of the achievement distribution. These results hold for 13-year-olds and for 17-year-olds and don't vary across racial/ethnic or social class backgrounds, undermining claims of disparate impact.

DO EXIT EXAMS PREPARE STUDENTS FOR WORK?

Although exit exams have no discernable effects for reading or math achievement, could exit exams still affect graduates' employment prospects and wages? Employers, like many other members of the public, may *believe* exit exams have increased the academic achievement of high school graduates. Employers of relatively less skilled workers — that is, those without a college education — generally value such traits as trustworthiness, reliability, and sound work ethics at least as much as they value academic skills in reading and math. Exit exams may signal to employers that diploma holders are able to follow through on a more rigorous set of high school graduation requirements and thus certify that graduates possess the noncognitive skills that employers value.

If exit exams produce graduates who are better prepared for work, then we should expect lower unemployment rates and higher wages among young people who passed exit exams to obtain their diplomas. These effects should be most pronounced among young people who don't go on to college; the effects of postsecondary training and credentials are probably much larger than any effect of exit exams.

We use data from the 1980 through 2000 U.S. Censuses and from the 1984 through 2002 Current Population Surveys to evaluate the labor market returns to exit exams (Warren, Grotsky, and Lee 2008). Both data sources include large, nationally representative samples of American young people. We limited our fo-



Why Do Some States Have Exit Exams?

What led some states to adopt high school exit exam policies while others did not? Of the 16 states that implemented exit exam policies by 1986, 11 were in the southern United States. Of those that have adopted such policies since then, most have been Midwestern or Western states. In a recent paper, we showed that, while policy makers in all states want to improve the academic achievements of their students, relatively low-achieving states are no more likely to adopt exit exam policies than relatively high-achieving states (Warren and Kulik 2007). Instead, states are most likely to adopt exit exam policies when they face difficult economic circumstances and when there are relatively more African-American and Hispanic students in their schools — a situation faced by many Southern states in the 1980s and by many Western states since then.